## HumeLink Fact Sheet <br> GHD Route Options Assessment

Following feedback from local landowners and community members, Transgrid engaged engineering consultancy GHD Pty Ltd (GHD) to conduct a comparison of three of HumeLink's transmission line route options in the Tumut area.

The objectives of the assessment were to:

- Identify a preferred route in the Tumut/Bloweringarea within HumeLink's existing study corridor;
- Assess new routes outside the study corridor,between Maragle and Yass to maximise the use of public land; and
- Compare the alternative route/s and recommend an optimal route that meets agreed criteria.


## Methodology

GHD uses a proprietary multi-criteria analysis tool to undertake route options assessments resulting in an InDeGO' score.

A higher InDeGO score reflects a higher level of potential environmental and social impacts.

The full Route Options Assessment is provided at Appendix A to this fact sheet.

## Findings

The consultant's report concludes that Tumut North is the recommended route option, having both the lowest impact and lowest cost.

The Wondalga to Maragle to Yass via Kosciuszko National Park route option (referred to as 'Option 2F' by the community) is identified by GHD as the least optimal route due to its:

- substantially higher InDeGO score, likely due to the significant environmental impacts associated with traversing the national park and potential impacts on the Burrinjuck Dam heritage site; and
- costing $\$ 255$ million more than Tumut North, largely due to the complexity of construction through the alpine region, the cost of acquiring state forest and national park land and the higher cost of offsetting the biodiversity impacts.

The Blowering route option was also examined by GHD. The report concludes that compared to Tumut North, the Blowering route option has higher environmental and social impacts impacts and an increased cost to the project of $\$ 53$ million.

## The following table shows key data from the consultant's assessment:

|  | Tumut North | Blowering | Kosciuszko (2F) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length | 159 km | 161 km | 193 km |
| Length of private property | 121 km | 123 km | 100 km |
| Length of public property | 38 km | 38 km | 93 km |
| InDeGO2 total score | 962,300 | $1,005,680$ | $1,384,240$ |
| \% increase in Tumut North |  | $+5 \%$ | $+44 \%$ |
| Total cost | $\$ 712 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 765 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 967 \mathrm{M}$ |
| - construction | $\$ 488 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 510 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 616 \mathrm{M}$ |
| - biodiversity offsets (Niche) | $\$ 197 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 228 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 321 \mathrm{M}$ |
| - property | $\$ 27 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 27 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 30 \mathrm{M}$ |
| difference in cost on Tumut North |  | $+\$ 53 \mathrm{M}$ | $+\$ 255 \mathrm{M}$ |

Note: ${ }^{12}$ A GHD proprietary multi-criteria analysis tool for undertaking route options assessment resulting in an InDeGO score

